Im Rahmen des PHILOSOPHISCHEN KOLLOQUIUMS findet am Mittwoch, 4. Mai, folgender Vortrag statt:

Prof. John Woods
University of British Columbia

Globalization makes inconsistency unrecognizable

Does a localized inconsistency in a theory wreck it? Among logicians, the majority view is that it does. The majority of that majority thinks that the reason why is that when an inconsistency strikes any part of a theory, all its other parts are made inconsistent too. In locally inconsistent theories, inconsistency goes viral or, as I shall say, global. In proof-theoretic terms, every sentence in a globally inconsistent theory is a theorem, including in each case its own negation. Globally inconsistent theories are therefore utterly unfit for cognitively productive work, less still for dignified usage. A comparatively small but highly capable minority has ventured various tariffs for protecting theories against the ravages of globalization. These measures vary widely and are not always pairwise compatible. All of them however reflect the view that globalization is a punishment that does not fit the crime.

Der Vortrag findet ausnahmsweise an einem Mittwoch statt.
Der Raum ist in diesem Fall G 420.

Alle Interessierten, insbesondere auch Studierende, sind herzlich eingeladen.

nächster Vortrag 19.5.
Dieter Teichert, Universität Luzern
Personale Identität und Narration